Revised February 9, 2004 Resource Scneario Lead Workshop Scenario **Analyses** Models Actions Presentation Description Status (Priority) to be Performed to be Used addressed by Scenario Aug Oct Feb Benchmark Study (Existing Conditions): This This scenario is the basis for Art Hinojosa CALSIM II Completed scenario uses the current level-of-development comparing all other 112 20, 211, **HYDROPS Near Completion** hydrology as well as the current regulatory framework operational scenarios. 2003 2004 2004 (which includes the existing biological opinions for **WQRRS** Completed steelhead and spring-run chinook salmon). **HEC-RAS** Completed Benchmark Study (Future Conditions): This scenario This scenario is the basis for Art Hinojosa Not scheduled for presentation CALSIM II **Near Completion** uses the future level-of-development hydrology as well comparing all other as the current regulatory framework (which includes the operational scenarios. O&M **HYDROPS** existing biological opinions for steelhead and spring-run to review the OCAP version **WQRRS** chinook salmon). and analyse the need and addition of future projects. **HEC-RAS** Completed EWG-35, EWG-Lori Mathis Eliminate pump-back operations: This scenario is the February 11, 2004? Apr ??, 2004 83, EWG-87 same as the Benchmark scenario except pump-back **HYDROPS** Completed operations are eliminated to test estimate the effects that of pump-back would have on water temperatures in Complete **WQRRS** Thermalito Afterbay and the Feather River. In Progress 2 EWG-35, EWG-Lori Mathis February 11, 2004? Apr ??, 2004 Eliminate pump-back and peaking operations: In (2) addition to eliminating pump-back operation, this 83, EWG-87 scenario also "flattens" the generation pattern - no **HYDROPS** Completed peaking of the generation – May through September to test effects that peaking would have on water **WQRRS** In Progress temperatures in Thermalito Afterbay and the Feather River. Not scheduled for presentation 3 EWG-28 Minimize the water surface fluctuation in the Perform desktop analyses to Not Assigned look at how contingency Thermalito Afterbay during bass and waterfowl operations are impacted by nesting periods: This scenario is the same as the **HYDROPS** this action. Benchmark scenario except water surface fluctuations WORRS in the TAB are minimized from March through June. Two specific model runs would be analyzed; one with no fluctuation and the other with minor fluctuation in water surface. Perform desktop analyses to EWG-28 Not Assigned Not scheduled for presentation Maintain a constant water surface fluctuation in the Thermalito Afterbay during bass and waterfowl look at how contingency operations are impacted by nesting periods: This scenario is the same as the **HYDROPS** this action. Benchmark scenario except water surface in the TAB is required to fluctuate each day for the period March **WQRRS** through June. Two specific model runs would be analyzed.

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Revised February 9, 2004											
Scenario (Priority)	Description	Analyses to be Performed	Models to be Used	Status	Resource Actions addressed by Scenario	Scneario Lead	Workshop Presentation				
5	Eliminate the Fish Hatchery temperature requirement as a control for Oroville Damoperations: This scenario assumes the Fish Hatchery water can be cooled by a means independent of the source water temperature; thus, it does not impact decisions on facility and river temperatures.	This scenario is being included in Scenario 23.	HYDROPS WQRRS		EWG-35, EWG- 36, EWG-37, EWG-38, EWG- 83, EWG-87	Not Assigned	Not scheduled for- presentation				
6	will be increased (value to be determined from fisheries studies) during the key spawning and rearing period (June through December).	releases to the low flow channel for part of the year. Similar to Scenario 22. Increases in increments of 1500, 2000 and 4000 cfs are being done	HYDROPS WQRRS	In Progress	EWG-3, EWG- 88	Not Assigned	Not scheduled for presentation				
7 (2)	Gradual flow increase for spawning: This scenario is the same as the Benchmark Scenario except the release to the low flow section of the Feather River will be increased and held during the key spawning and rearing periods in the fall. Once the flow is ramped to the desired level, it will be maintained until the larval fish emerge from the gravel (April?). This scenario would be based upon the Benchmark scenario, but may require re run of CALSIM II if ramped Low-Flow section releases exceed the total release prescribed in the CALSIM II Benchmark.	period from October to May.	Desktop Analysis HYDROPS WQRRS	Modeling Plan has been drafted and staff is beginning to work on data preparation.	EWG-15A, EWG-15B	Art Hinojosa	February 11, 2004?				
8	Eliminate releases from the Thermalito Afterbay to the Feather River: Releases from the TAB would be curtailed from May through December. During that period, water would be released to the river at the Diversion Dam. The purpose of this scenario is to evaluate (1) the effect of residence time on water temperatures in the afterbay and (2) the effect of water temperatures and attraction flows on fall spawning and rearing. Replaced by Scenario 22.		HYDROPS WQRRS		EWG-35, EWG- 36, EWG-37, EWG-38, EWG- 83, EWG-87						
9	Impose a 60°F water temperature requirement at Robinson Riffle: This scenario would attempt to meet the water supply needs prescribed from the CALSIM II benchmark scenario and would adjust Oroville Facilities operations to achieve the temperature objective from June through September. CALSIM II would be re-run as needed to investigate potential water supply effects. Combined with Scenario 10 as sensitivity analysis.		CALSIM II HYDROPS WQRRS		EWG-36, EWG- 37, EWG-38						

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Revised February 9, 2004

Scenario (Priority)	Description	Analyses to be Performed	Models to be Used	Status	Resource Actions addressed by Scenario	Scneario Lead	Workshop Presentation
10 (2)	Impose various water temperature requirements (60°F and 65°F) at various locations along the river (Robinson Riffle, Thermalito Outlet, Honcut Creek, etc.?): This scenario attempts to meet the water supply needs prescribed from the CALSIM II benchmark scenario while adjusting Oroville Facilities operations to achieve the temperature objective from June through September. CALSIM II would be re-run as needed to investigate potential water supply effects.		CALSIM II (if needed) HYDROPS (if needed) WQRRS	In Progress	EWG-36, EWG- 37, EWG-38	Art Hinojosa	February 11, 2004?
44	Impose a 65°F water temperature requirement at the end of the low-flow section: This scenario is similar to #10, but meets the temperature objective further-downstream. As with Scenario #10, it would attempt to-meet the water supply needs prescribed from the CALSIM II benchmark scenario and would adjust-Oroville Facilities operations to achieve the temperature objective from June through September. CALSIM II-would be re-run as needed to investigate potential water supply effects.		CALSIM II HYDROPS WQRRS		EWG-36, EWG- 37, EWG-38		
12	Impose a 9-foot per month drawdown limit on Lake Oroville: Reservoir level would be allowed to drop 9 feet per month from March through June. Review of Existing Conditions Benchmark indicates that there will be a problem in many June's.	Review water supply and available export capacity impacts from CALSIM II	CALSIM II HYDROPS WQRRS	May n-Not be needed at this time.	EWG-30	Art Hinojosa	Not scheduled for presentation
13 (1)	WATER SUPPLY IMPACT ON LAKE OROVILLE WATER LEVELS: This set of scenarios is to evaluate how sensitive Oroville lake levels are to varying levels of SWP demands. The SWP demands will be set at 0, 1.0, 2.0., 3.0, and full Table A (4.2) levels.		CALSIM II	Completed	None	Art Hinojosa	October 20, 2003
14	Investigate the effects of providing additional flood reservation: The approach would be to perform reservoir routing analysis for additional flood reservation conditions. Operations models would be used to investigate impacts to other resource areas.		CALSIM II HYDROPS	Flood operations is being considered as scenario in separate flood analyses. CALSIM II runs will be performed as	None	Curtis Creel	Not scheduled for presentation
			WQRRS ResSim	needed based upon results. There may be no need to run HYDROPS OR			esentation

Revised February 9, 2004 Resource Scneario Lead Workshop Scenario Models Presentation **Analyses** Actions Description Status (Priority) to be Performed to be Used addressed by Scenario Construct channel to carry water around TAB: Same WQRRS can not model this Art Hinoiosa EO1 Not scheduled for as the Benchmark Scenario but this scenario includes a as stated. Would require Lori Brown development of some other channel that leads from the Thermalito Power Plant to the afterbay near the Feather River outlet. This would analysis technique. **Desktop Analysis** In Progress allow water to reside longer in the afterbay before being diverted by Western or Sutter Mutual. EWG-87 Increase water temperature in the TAB: During the May and June period, only enough water would be **HYDROPS** released into the TAB to meet demands from the afterbay. Water would be released to the river at the **WQRRS** Diversion Dam. FWG-83 17 Investigate the extent of temperature control from Curtis Creel October 20, 2003 the Oroville Facilities: This is a sensitivity analysis (1) (see SP-E6) of how far downstream from the Oroville **WQRRS** Completed Facilities that water temperature can be controlled. February 11, 2004? 17a Similar approach as Scenario Art Hinojosa Investigate the extent of temperature control from the Oroville Facilities: Look at how air and water 17. Analysis starts in Feather (2)River just below afterbay. temperature, as well as flow, affect water temperature Factors to analyze include downstream of the afterbay outlet during the spring-time **WQRRS** Completed ambient temperature, water temperature, and flow. Not scheduled for 18 WQRRS, Post-process EWG-87 Not Assigned Hold Thermalito Afterbay at a minimal water level: presentation **HYDROPS** This scenario is to investigate the effect that water Benchmark to get new volume has on afterbay water temperatures during the storage for each hour **WQRRS** spring. Not scheduled for presentation 19 Investigate the impacts of power economics on Not Assigned **HYDROPS** power production: This is a sensitivity analysis to see **WQRRS** how changes in power economic assumptions affect peaking and pumpback power operations. 20 February 11, 2004? May not be needed **Limit pump-back operations:** The benchmark Lori Mathis scenario is designed to optimize pump-back operations. Thus, there will be times when it will utilize pump-back to **HYDROPS** Completed a greater degree than observed in actual operations. **WQRRS** In Progress Another model scenario (#1) sets pump-back to zero. This model scenario will all pump-back operations to occur; the goal is to model pump-back levels that are near the levels observed historically.

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

SUMMARY OF POTENTIAL SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS Revised February 9, 2004 Workshop Resource Scneario Lead Scenario **Analyses** Models Presentation **Actions** Description Status (Priority) to be Performed to be Used addressed by Scenario Winter water temperature sensitivity analysis: This is Similar approach as Scenario Moved to Scenario EWG-87 Not Assigned 17. Analysis starts in Feather 17a. a sensitivity analysis to investigate the extent of River just below afterbay. temperature control in the river downstream of the Oroville Facilities during the spring period. Factors to analyze include **WQRRS** ambient temperature, water temperature, and flow. Details on performing model EWG-35, EWG-Lori Mathis 22 Release additional flow to low flow section: May not be needed Releases from the TAB would be curtailed from (could runs to be determine. 36, EWG-37, EWG-38, EWGbe all year, but most likely May through December). Discharge rates to the low flow channel may vary up to During that period, water would be released to the river **HYDROPS** Completed 83, EWG-87 at the Diversion Dam. The purpose of this scenario is to 4000 cfs. Increments include evaluate (1) the effect of residence time on water 1000, 1500, 2000 and 4000 **WQRRS** Pending temperatures in the afterbay and (2) the effect of water cfs. Most likely, the scenario would be completed as a temperatures and attraction flows on fall spawning and series of sensitivity runs. 23 **Evaluate Integrated Temperature and Flow targets** Details provided by Task Bill Smith Apr ??, 2004 (3) for Salmonids: This scenario using input provided by Force. **WQRRS** the EWG to analyze the effect of meeting specific **HYDROPS** temperature and flow targets in the Feather River. The targets were developed as part of a joint EWG and E&O CALSIM II (as needed) Task Force process.

Revised February 2004 Preliminary EOWG Draft